

Internal Audit Department

O R A N G E C O U N T Y
6th Largest County in the USA

RESULTS OF CONTINUOUS AUDITING USING CAATS:

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, HUMAN RESOURCES, &
COUNTY PROCUREMENT OFFICE

AUDIT FOR DUPLICATE VENDOR PAYMENTS AND OTHER PERIODIC ROUTINES

(Cited as a Best Practice by the Institute of Internal Auditors)

**For the Month:
November 2010**

We analyzed 18,033 vendor invoices paid in October 2010 amounting to about \$156 million and found 99.98% of the invoices were paid only once.

Of the \$156 million vendor invoices, we identified 4 potential duplicate payments made to vendors for \$11,677.

To date we have identified \$962,735 in duplicate vendor payments, of which \$904,864 has been recovered.

AUDIT NO: 1041-F
REPORT DATE: NOVEMBER 16, 2010

Director: Dr. Peter Hughes, MBA, CPA, CIA
Deputy Director: Eli Littner, CPA, CIA, CISA
Senior Audit Manager: Autumn McKinney, CPA, CIA, CISA
Audit Manager: Carol Swe, CPA, CIA, CISA

RISK BASED AUDITING

GAO & IIA Peer Review Compliant – 2001, 2004, 2007, 2010



American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Award to Dr. Peter Hughes as 2010 Outstanding CPA of the Year for Local Government



2009 Association of Certified Fraud Examiners' Hubbard Award to Dr. Peter Hughes for the Most Outstanding Article of the Year-Ethics Pays



2008 Association of Local Government Auditors' Bronze Website Award



2005 Institute of Internal Auditors' Award to IAD for Recognition of Commitment to Professional Excellence, Quality, and Outreach



ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS'
Internal Audit Department

GAO & IIA Peer Review Compliant - 2001, 2004, 2007, 2010

Providing Facts and Perspectives Countywide

RISK BASED AUDITING

Dr. Peter Hughes **Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CCEP, CITP, CIA, CFE, CFF**
 Director Certified Compliance & Ethics Professional (CCEP)
 Certified Information Technology Professional (CITP)
 Certified Internal Auditor (CIA)
 Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE)
 Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF)
 E-mail: peter.hughes@iad.ocgov.com

Eli Littner **CPA, CIA, CFE, CFS, CISA**
 Deputy Director Certified Fraud Specialist (CFS)
 Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA)

Michael J. Goodwin **CPA, CIA**
 Senior Audit Manager

Alan Marcum **MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE**
 Senior Audit Manager

Autumn McKinney **CPA, CIA, CISA, CGFM**
 Senior Audit Manager Certified Government Financial Manager (CGFM)

Hall of Finance & Records

12 Civic Center Plaza, Room 232
 Santa Ana, CA 92701

Phone: (714) 834-5475

Fax: (714) 834-2880

To access and view audit reports or obtain additional information about the OC Internal Audit Department, visit our website: www.ocgov.com/audit



OC Fraud Hotline (714) 834-3608

Letter from Director Peter Hughes



Transmittal Letter



Audit No. 1041-F November 16, 2010

TO: David Sundstrom, Auditor-Controller
Carl Crown, Director, Human Resources
Department
Ronald C. Vienna, County Purchasing Agent
County Procurement Office

SUBJECT: Results of Continuous Auditing Using CAATS:
Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, &
County Procurement Office – Duplicate
Vendor Payments & Other Routines

We have completed the November 2010 report of Results of Continuous Auditing Using CAATS (Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques). The final report is attached for your information. Recoveries to date from duplicate vendor payments are **\$904,864**.

Each month I submit an **Audit Status Report** to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) where I detail any material and significant audit issues released in reports during the prior month and the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits. Accordingly, the results of this audit will be included in a future status report to the BOS.

As always, the Internal Audit Department is available to partner with your staff so that they can successfully implement or mitigate difficult audit recommendations. Please feel free to call me should you wish to discuss any aspect of our audit report.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us by the personnel of your offices. If we can be of further assistance, please contact me or Eli Littner, Deputy Director at (714) 834-5899, or Autumn McKinney, Senior Audit Manager at (714) 834-6106.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Peter Hughes".

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department

Attachment

Letter from Director Peter Hughes



Distribution Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1:

Members, Board of Supervisors
Members, Audit Oversight Committee
Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer
Jan Grimes, Director, Auditor-Controller/Central Accounting Operations
Victoria Ross, Senior Manager, Auditor-Controller/Claims & Disbursing Section
Bill Malohn, A-C/Information Technology/CAPS G/L System Support
Shelley Carlucci, Assistant Director, Human Resources/Administration
Bob Leys, Assistant Director, Human Resources/Services and Support
Rosie Santiesteban, Admin. Manager II, Human Resources/Administration
Laurence McCabe, Admin. Manager II, Human Resources
Foreperson, Grand Jury
Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Table of Contents



***Results of Continuous Auditing Using CAATS:
Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, & County Procurement Office
Duplicate Vendor Payments and Other Routines
Audit No. 1041-F***

***For the Month:
November 2010***

Transmittal Letter	i
OC Internal Auditor's Report	1
OBJECTIVES	1
BACKGROUND	2
SCOPE	2
RESULTS	3
DETAILED RESULTS	
1. Duplicate Payments (Objective #1)	4
2. Employee Vendor Match (Objective #2)	5
3. OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours (Objective #3)	5
4. Payroll Direct Deposits (Objective #4)	6



Audit No. 1041-F

November 16, 2010

TO: David Sundstrom, Auditor-Controller
Carl Crown, Director, Human Resources Department
Ronald C. Vienna, County Purchasing Agent
County Procurement Office

FROM: Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department

SUBJECT: Results of Continuous Auditing Using CAATS:
Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, & County Procurement
Office – Duplicate Vendor Payments and Other Routines

Audit Highlight

We analyzed 18,033 vendor invoices paid in October 2010 amounting to about \$156 million and found 99.98% of the invoices were only paid once.

Of the \$156 million vendor invoices, we identified four (4) potential duplicate payments made to vendors for \$11,677.

To date we have identified **\$962,735** in duplicate vendor payments, of which **\$904,864** has been recovered.

OBJECTIVES

Each month, the Internal Audit Department conducts a variety of continuous auditing of vendor payment and payroll activity utilizing Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (known by the acronym CAAT). Our objectives are to analyze selected vendor payment and payroll data to identify:

1. **Duplicate Payments:** Duplicate payments made to vendors. This CAAT is performed monthly.
2. **Employee Vendor Match:** Employees that bought goods or issued contracts to themselves or a related vendor. This CAAT is performed quarterly.
3. **OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours:** County retirees working as extra help in excess of mandated hour limits of 960 or 720 hours for FY 10-11. The mandated limits required by Government Code Sections 31680.6 and 31641.04 are per fiscal year and this CAAT is performed monthly and annually.
4. **Payroll Direct Deposits:** Multiple employee paychecks directly deposited to the same bank account which could be an indicator of inappropriate payments. This CAAT is performed monthly.



BACKGROUND

Continuous auditing is a change to the traditional audit approach of periodic reviews of a sample of transactions to ongoing audit testing of 100 percent of transactions. Continuous auditing provides efficient and timely testing of transactions and/or controls to allow immediate notification and remediation by management. An important component of continuous auditing is the development of models for the ongoing (continuous) review of transactions at, or close to, the point at which they occur.

As a supplement to traditional audits performed, Internal Audit performs continuous auditing of selected vendor payment and payroll activities utilizing Computer Assisted Audit Techniques (CAATs).

CAATs are automated queries applied to large amounts of electronic data searching for specified characteristics. We use a proprietary, best practices and industry recognized software product to help us in this process.

CAATs differ from our traditional audits in that CAATs can query **100%** of a data universe whereas the traditional audits typically test but a **sample** of transactions from the population.

Resulting exceptions or findings are forwarded to the appropriate department for validation and/or resolution. Depending on the department's review, the exceptions may or may not be a finding.

Often there is additional data needed to validate the exception that is only known at the department level. We also partner with the departments to identify internal control enhancements with the purpose of preventing future occurrences of the type of findings identified by the CAATs.

We are keeping the details of our process and the vulnerabilities identified to a general discussion because of the risks associated with disclosing specific details of our financial and accounting processes.

SCOPE

This report details the CAAT work we performed in November 2010. Our analysis included a review of the following data:

1. **Duplicate Payments:** 18,033 vendor invoices totaling \$155,765,625 for potential duplicate payments.
2. **Employee Vendor Match:** This routine is performed on a quarterly basis; therefore, it was not performed in October 2010. The next analysis will be performed at 12/31/10.
3. **OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours:** County working retiree/extra help hours worked during FY 10/11 for individuals exceeding annual limits of 960 or 720 hours, as mandated by Government Code Sections 31680.6 and 31641.04.
4. **Payroll Direct Deposits:** 55,286 payroll direct deposit transactions processed for pay periods #20 (9/10/10 – 9/23/10), #21 (9/24/10 – 10/7/10) and #22 (10/8/10 – 10/21/10) for suspicious direct deposit activity.



RESULTS

For the month of November 2010, we found the following:

■ **Objective #1 - Duplicate Payments:**

We identified four (4) duplicate payments made to a vendor totaling \$11,677 or .007% of the **\$156 million** of vendor invoices processed during October 2010.

Value-added Information

Based on the to-date recoveries of **\$904,864** from the duplicate vendor payment routine, these computer assisted routines have paid for themselves and are returning monies to the County that may otherwise be lost. To date, we have issued 102 monthly performance reports for the CAATs.

■ **Objective #2 – Employee Vendor Match:**

This routine is performed on a quarterly basis. All potential employee/vendor matches identified to date have been researched and resolved to HRD's satisfaction.

■ **Objective #3 – OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours:**

As of 10/28/10, no working retirees exceeded the annual limits of 960 or 720 hours for FY 10/11, as mandated by Government Code Sections 31680.6 and 31641.04.

■ **Objective #4 – Payroll Direct Deposits:**

Analysis performed with no findings noted.

See the Detailed Results section for further information.

Detailed Results



1. Duplicate Payments (Objective #1)

We used a CAAT routine to identify potential duplicate payments made to vendors during October 2010.

A. Results

We identified four (4) duplicate payments for \$11,677 or .007% of the \$156 million of vendor invoices processed during October 2010. The Auditor-Controller continues to investigate all duplicate payments and is pursuing collection. Currently, the County has a recovery rate of about **95%** on these duplicate payments that have been identified since the inception of the CAAT routines.

The table below summarizes the duplicate payment activity to date:

CAAT Report	Total		Not Duplicates		Recovered		In Process	
	#'s	\$'s	#'s	\$'s	#'s	\$'s	#'s	\$'s
2002	103	\$99,980	19	\$10,334	80	\$87,808	4	\$1,838
2003	50	\$33,306	7	\$10,175	39	\$21,020	4	\$2,111
2004	33	\$105,779	7	\$2,990	24	\$101,460	2	\$1,329
2005	67	\$80,162	2	\$668	64	\$78,472	1	\$1,022
2006	75	\$347,008	16	\$33,720	54	\$310,019	5	\$3,269
2007	93	\$99,999	12	\$8,411	76	\$88,735	5	\$2,853
2008	70	\$77,712	11	\$6,794	55	\$68,050	4	\$2,868
2009	100	\$155,529	10	\$30,173	72	\$103,184	18	\$22,172
January 2010	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
February 2010	6	\$42,827	0	\$0	1	\$41,256	5	\$1,571
March 2010	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0	0	\$0
April 2010	1	\$1,140	0	\$0	1	\$1,140	0	\$0
May 2010	9	\$2,665	0	\$0	0	\$0	9	\$2,665
June 2010	8	\$11,746	3	\$6,660	1	\$3,720	4	\$1,366
July 2010	6	\$954	0	\$0	0	\$0	6	\$954
August 2010	1	\$786	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$786
September 2010	3	\$1,090	0	\$0	0	\$0	3	\$1,090
October 2010	1	\$300	0	\$0	0	\$0	1	\$300
November 2010	4	\$11,677	0	\$0	0	\$0	4	\$11,677
TOTAL	630	\$1,072,660	87	\$109,925	467	\$904,864	76	\$57,871

B. Background

This CAAT routine concentrates on a sub-set of vendor invoices paid by the County that possesses certain common attributes. The sub-set excludes one-time payments (such as election worker pay, jury duty pay, etc.) as well as recurring payments (periodic payments to the same payee for the same amount such as welfare, family support, etc.).

During the month of November 2010, 18,033 invoices for \$155,765,625 were added to this data sub-set representing October 2010 transactions. Currently, the data sub-set includes 1,124,352 invoices totaling \$12,008,755,927.



The total data file from which the sub-set is derived includes 3,281,245 records totaling \$24,447,533,497. For FY 09-10, established vendor payments were about \$2.2 billion.

Our prior research has indicated that the duplicate payments are typically caused by a compounded human clerical error.

2. Employee Vendor Match (Objective #2)

We used a CAAT routine to identify employees that share a similar address or phone number as a vendor. This may identify employees buying goods or issuing contracts to themselves or a related vendor. This routine is performed quarterly.

Status:

All potential employee/vendor matches identified to date have been researched and resolved to HRD's satisfaction. Our next analysis will be performed at 12/31/10.

3. OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours (Objective #3)

We performed an analysis of working retiree hours to identify retirees working as extra help in excess of Government Code Sections 31680.6 and 31641.04 mandated limits.

Our criteria are 960 hours (maximum allowed for regular retirees) or 720 hours (maximum for early retirees) during the fiscal year (FY).

Status:

The Government Code Section 31680.6 and 31641.04 mandated limits are per fiscal year and we perform this review monthly. To date in FY 10-11, there have been approximately 90 OC working retirees with hours; non-County working retirees are excluded from these totals (e.g. Superior Court, OCERS, LAFCO, etc.). As of October 28, 2010, no individuals have exceeded the annual limits for FY 10-11.

For FY 10-11, OC working retiree/extra-help data as of October 28, 2010 is:

Department	No. of OC Working Retirees	Total FY Hours to Date
Sheriff-Coroner	59	13,503
District Attorney	9	2,861
Health Care Agency	9	2,435
Assessor	9	1,149
OC Public Works	2	541
Auditor-Controller	1	289
County Counsel	1	312
Total	90	21,090



4. Payroll Direct Deposits (Objective #4)

We used a CAAT to identify multiple employee paychecks directly deposited to the same bank account in the same pay period. We review results to determine there has been no irregular direct deposit activity. For FY 09-10, direct deposits for regular payroll were about \$1.3 billion.

Results:

This CAAT was applied in November 2010 with no significant findings.

Attachments:

Details of Duplicate Payments provided to the Auditor-Controller/Claims & Disbursing Section, dated 11/9/10.