We found the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues and expenditures of the District Attorney’s Office Automobile Insurance Fraud Program, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Grant budget and expenditures incurred for FY 2011 were $1,373,811 and $1,290,396, respectively.
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Transmittal Letter

Audit No. 1110  December 2, 2011

TO:  Tony Rackauckas, District Attorney  
FROM:  Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director 
Internal Audit Department  
SUBJECT:  Orange County District Attorney’s 
Office Audit of Automobile Insurance 
Fraud Program

Attached is our report on Audit of the Orange County District Attorney’s Automobile Insurance Fraud Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Please forward to the State of California Department of Insurance as required by the grant documents.

Each month I submit an Audit Status Report to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) where I detail any material and significant audit findings released in reports during the prior month and the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits. Accordingly, the results of this audit will be included in a future status report to the BOS.

Additionally, we will request your department complete a Customer Survey of Audit Services. You will receive the survey shortly after the distribution of our final report.

Attachments

Other recipients of this report listed on the Independent Auditor’s Report on page 2.
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December 2, 2011

California Department of Insurance
Fraud Division
9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95826

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the County of Orange District Attorney’s Office (District Attorney) Automobile Insurance Fraud Program for the year ended June 30, 2011. This financial statement is the responsibility of the District Attorney’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues and expenditures of the District Attorney’s Automobile Insurance Fraud Program for the year ended June 30, 2011, in conformity with the basis described in Note 2.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, we have also issued our report dated December 2, 2011 on our consideration of the District Attorney’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the County of Orange District Attorney’s Office management, the Audit Committee and for filing with the State of California Department of Insurance and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.
Independent Auditor’s Report

Respectfully Submitted,

[Signature]

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department

Distribution Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1:

Members, Board of Supervisors
Members, Audit Oversight Committee
Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer
Lisa Bohan-Johnston, Director, District Attorney Administrative Services
Foreperson, Grand Jury
Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
## ORANGE COUNTY

### DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

### AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE FRAUD PROGRAM

### STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues:</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Insurance Grant Receipts (Note 4)</td>
<td>$1,358,275</td>
<td>$1,358,275</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved Prior Year Carry-Over Funds (Note 4)</td>
<td>15,536</td>
<td>15,536</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income (Note 4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18,997</td>
<td>18,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income (Note 6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$1,373,811</td>
<td>$1,392,822</td>
<td>19,011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expenditures:                                    |            |            |                                  |
| Salaries and Benefits (Note 3.A)                 | $1,227,832 | 1,164,909  | 62,923                           |
| Operating Expenditures (Note 3.B)                | 145,979    | 125,487    | 20,492                           |
| Total Expenditures                                | $1,373,811 | 1,290,396  | 83,415                           |
| Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures (Note 5) | 102,426 | 102,426 |                                  |

See Independent Auditor’s Report and Notes to Financial Statement.
NOTE 1 – BACKGROUND

The Orange County District Attorney’s Automobile Insurance Fraud Unit (Unit) utilizes various forms of investigative techniques and scientific aids in order to detect, investigate, and bring to justice perpetrators of automobile insurance fraud in Orange County. The Orange County District Attorney (OCDA) applied for and was awarded funding for the Automobile Insurance Fraud Program (Program) by the California Department of Insurance (CDI) for the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011. The Program funds provide for enhanced investigation and prosecution of automobile insurance fraud and economic car theft cases. The funds are available to local district attorneys under provisions of Section 1872.8 of the California Insurance Code.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The OCDA presents its revenues and expenditures on an accrual basis in accordance with State of California, Department of Insurance, Insurance Fraud Grant Financial Audit Guidelines. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenditures are recognized when incurred. The accompanying financial statement is not intended to present the financial position and results of OCDA’s operations.

NOTE 3 – EXPENDITURES

Expenditures were made for the purposes of the Program as specified in Section 1872.8 of the Insurance Code and California Code of Regulations, Title 10, Sections 2698.60 et. seq., and the County Requirements Upon Award of Grant, Section II, in the Request for Application.

A. Salaries and Benefits

Expenditures charged to the Program are limited to personnel funded by the grant. These expenditures include salaries and benefits of attorneys and investigators directly involved with the Program. Also included are the salaries and benefits of support personnel (investigative, paralegal and clerical). County of Orange policies and procedures were used to verify the appropriateness of personnel costs. Personnel time charged to the Program was appropriately certified by Program personnel.

See Independent Auditor’s Report.
B. Operating Expenditures

Operating expenditures include travel/transportation, office supplies, communication expenses, building lease, case related expenses, undercover expenses, audit cost, and indirect costs. A flat indirect cost rate of ten (10) percent was used for the year ended June 30, 2011. Indirect costs were calculated by applying the indirect cost rate to direct salaries. Direct charges to the program are not included in the calculation of indirect costs charged to the Program.

C. Equipment

During the audit period, no equipment was budgeted nor purchased for the Program.

NOTE 4 – FUNDING AMOUNTS

California Department of Insurance
The OCDA was awarded $1,358,275 from the California Department of Insurance and received the full award amount in four (4) distributions ($502,562 on January 5, 2011, $217,324 on February 23, 2011, $162,993 on June 16, 2011, and $475,396 on September 14, 2011). In addition, $15,536 of carry-over funds from fiscal year 2009-10 was approved by CDI to further Program expenditures. Further, interest income for fiscal year 2010-11 for $14 was allocated back to the Program.

Other Income (restitution income)
The OCDA received other income (restitution income) of $18,997 during fiscal year 2010-11. Restitution income was utilized to further Program expenditures.

Total grant funding was $1,392,822.

NOTE 5 – CARRY-OVER FUNDS

Carry-over funds for the year ended June 30, 2011 are $102,426. OCDA will submit a budget to CDI for the carry-over amount of $102,426 for FY 2011-12.

NOTE 6 – INTEREST INCOME

The interest income of $14 for the current fiscal year was allocated back to fund further Program expenditures.

See Independent Auditor’s Report.
OTHER REPORTS
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

December 2, 2011

California Department of Insurance
Fraud Division
9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95826

We have audited the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the County of Orange District Attorney’s Office (District Attorney) Automobile Insurance Fraud Program, for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

In planning and performing our audit of the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the County of Orange District Attorney’s Office Automobile Insurance Fraud Program for the year ended June 30, 2011, we considered the District Attorney’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District Attorney’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District Attorney’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the second paragraph above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the District Attorney, the Audit Committee, and the California Department of Insurance and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE
AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT
AUDITING STANDARDS

December 2, 2011

California Department of Insurance
Fraud Division
9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95826

We have audited the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the County of Orange District Attorney’s Office (District Attorney) Automobile Insurance Fraud Program, for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District Attorney’s financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the District Attorney, the Audit Committee, and the California Department of Insurance and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department