We found the financial statement presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues and expenditures of the District Attorney’s Office Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Grant revenue and expenditures incurred for FY 2011 were $998,792 and $615,384, respectively.

**Audit No: 1111**

**Report Date: October 25, 2011**

**Director:** Dr. Peter Hughes, MBA, CPA, CIA  
**Deputy Director:** Eli Littner, CPA, CIA  
**Senior Audit Manager:** Alan Marcum, MBA, CPA, CIA  
**Audit Manager:** Susan Nestor, CPA, CIA
Internal Audit Department


Providing Facts and Perspectives Countywide

RISK BASED AUDITING

Dr. Peter Hughes
Director
Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CCEP, CITP, CIA, CFE, CFF
Certified Compliance & Ethics Professional (CCEP)
Certified Information Technology Professional (CITP)
Certified Internal Auditor (CIA)
Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE)
Certified in Financial Forensics (CFF)
E-mail: peter.hughes@iad.ocgov.com

Eli Littner
Deputy Director
CPA, CIA, CFE, CFS, CISA
Certified Fraud Specialist (CFS)
Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA)

Michael Goodwin
Senior Audit Manager
CPA, CIA

Alan Marcum
Senior Audit Manager
MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE

Autumn McKinney
Senior Audit Manager
CPA, CIA, CISA, CGFM
Certified Government Financial Manager (CGFM)

Hall of Finance & Records
12 Civic Center Plaza, Room 232
Santa Ana, CA 92701
Phone: (714) 834-5475          Fax: (714) 834-2880

To access and view audit reports or obtain additional information about the OC Internal Audit Department, visit our website: www.ocgov.com/audit

OC Fraud Hotline (714) 834-3608
Attached is our report on Audit of the Orange County District Attorney’s Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011.

Each month I submit an **Audit Status Report** to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) where I detail any material and significant audit findings released in reports during the prior month and the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits. Accordingly, the results of this audit will be included in a future status report to the BOS.

Additionally, we will request your department complete a **Customer Survey** of Audit Services. You will receive the survey shortly after the distribution of our final report.

**Attachments**

Other recipients of this report listed on the **Independent Auditor’s Report** on page 2.
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Independent Auditor’s Report

October 25, 2011
Audit No. 1111

California Department of Insurance
Fraud Division
9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95826

We have audited the accompanying Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the Orange County District Attorney’s (OCDA) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. This financial statement is the responsibility of the OCDA’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues and expenditures of the OCDA's Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, in conformity with the basis described in Note 2.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, we have also issued our report dated October 25, 2011 on our consideration of the OCDA's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of their compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Orange County District Attorney, the Audit Committee and for filing with the California Department of Insurance and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.
Independent Auditor’s Report

Respectfully Submitted,

[Signature]
Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department

Distribution Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1:

- Members, Board of Supervisors
- Members, Audit Oversight Committee
- Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer
- Lisa Bohan-Johnston, Director, District Attorney Administrative Services
- Foreperson, Grand Jury
- Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
ORANGE COUNTY
DISTRICT ATTORNEY’S OFFICE

ORGANIZED AUTOMOBILE FRAUD ACTIVITY INTERDICTION PROGRAM

STATEMENT OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenues:</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Receipts (Note 4)</td>
<td>$ 863,334</td>
<td>$863,334</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved Prior Year Carry-over Funds</td>
<td>134,316</td>
<td>134,316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income: (Note 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 997,650</td>
<td>$997,650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenue</td>
<td>$ 997,650</td>
<td>$998,792</td>
<td>1,142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Expenditures:                                  |               |               |                                  |
| Salaries and Benefits (Note 3.A)               | 899,293       | 553,840       | 345,453                          |
| Operating Expenditures (Note 3.B)              | 98,357        | 61,544        | 36,813                           |
| Total Expenditures                             | $ 997,650     | $615,384      | $382,266                         |

Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures (Note 5)  
$ 383,408  $ 383,408

See Independent Auditor’s Report and Notes to Financial Statement.
NOTE 1 – BACKGROUND

The Orange County District Attorney’s (OCDA) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Unit (Unit) utilizes various forms of investigative techniques and scientific aids in order to detect, investigate, and bring to justice perpetrators of organized automobile fraud activity in Orange County. The OCDA applied for and was awarded funding for the Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program (Program) by the California Department of Insurance (CDI) for the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2011. The Program funds provide for enhanced investigation and prosecution of fraudulent organized automobile insurance claims. The funds are available to local district attorneys under provisions of Section 1874.8 of the California Insurance Code.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The OCDA presents its revenues and expenditures on an accrual basis in accordance with State of California, Department of Insurance, Insurance Fraud Grant Financial Audit Guidelines. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenditures are recognized when incurred. The accompanying financial statement is not intended to present the financial position and results of the OCDA’s operations.

NOTE 3 – EXPENDITURES

Expenditures were made for the purposes of the program as specified in Section 1874.8 of the Insurance Code and California Code of Regulations, Title 10, Sections 2698.77 et. seq., and the County Requirements Upon Award of Grant, Section II, in the Request for Application.

A. Salaries and Benefits

Expenditures charged to the Program are limited to personnel funded by the grant. These expenditures include salaries and benefits of attorneys and investigators directly involved with the Program. Also included are the salaries and benefits of support personnel (paralegal and clerical). County of Orange policies and procedures were used to verify the appropriateness of personnel costs. Personnel time charged to the Program was appropriately certified by Program personnel.

See Independent Auditor’s Report
B. Operating Expenditures

Operating expenditures include travel/transportation, office supplies, communication expenses, training, building lease, undercover expenses, audit and indirect costs. A flat indirect cost rate of ten (10) percent was used for the year ended June 30, 2011. Indirect costs were calculated by applying the indirect cost rate to direct salaries. Direct charges to the Program are not included in the calculation of indirect costs charged to the Program.

C. Equipment

During the audit period, no equipment was budgeted nor purchased for the Program.

NOTE 4 – FUNDING AMOUNTS

California Department of Insurance

The OCDA was awarded $863,334 from the California Department of Insurance which includes initial funding in the amount of $428,340 and additional funding of $434,994. The full award amount was received in four (4) distributions ($345,334 on January 5, 2011, $83,006 on February 23, 2011, $120,003 on June 16, 2011 and $314,991 on September 15, 2011). There was $1,142 interest income earned for the year ended June 30, 2011.

NOTE 5 – CARRY-OVER FUNDS

FY 2009/10 carry-over funds approved for FY 2010/11 $ 134,316

Carry-over funds as of June 30, 2011 $ 383,408

The District Attorney will submit a modified budget for the 2011/12 fiscal year to the California Department of Insurance for the $383,408 carry-over amount.

NOTE 6 – INTEREST INCOME

Interest Income earned of $1,142 for fiscal year 2010/11 was allocated back to further program expenditures.

See Independent Auditor’s Report
OTHER REPORTS
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED ACCORDANCE WITH
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

October 25, 2011

California Department of Insurance
Fraud Division
9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95826

We have audited the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the Orange County District Attorney’s (OCDA) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

In planning and performing our audit of the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the OCDA’s Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program for the year ended June 30, 2011, we considered the OCDA’s internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the OCDA’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the OCDA’s internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the second paragraph above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Orange County District Attorney, the Audit Committee, and the California Department of Insurance and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF A FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

October 25, 2011

California Department of Insurance
Fraud Division
9342 Tech Center Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95826

We have audited the Statement of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual, of the Orange County District Attorney’s (OCDA) Organized Automobile Fraud Activity Interdiction Program, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated October 25, 2011. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the OCDA’s financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of their compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management of the Orange County District Attorney, the Audit Committee, and the California Department of Insurance and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit the distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Sincerely,

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department