MONTHLY PERFORMANCE REPORT OF CAATS:

AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, HUMAN RESOURCES, & COUNTY EXECUTIVE OFFICE/PURCHASING

AUDIT FOR DUPLICATE VENDOR PAYMENTS AND OTHER PERIODIC ROUTINES

(Cited as a Best Practice by the Institute of Internal Auditors)

For the Month:
October 2009

We analyzed 11,321 vendor invoices paid in September 2009 amounting to about $125 million and found 99.95% of the invoices were only paid once.

Of the $125 million vendor invoices, we identified 6 potential duplicate payments made to vendors totaling $7,771.

To date we have identified $894,041 in duplicate vendor payments, of which $833,658 has been recovered.

AUDIT NO: 2941-D
REPORT DATE: OCTOBER 30, 2009

Director: Dr. Peter Hughes, MBA, CPA, CIA
Deputy Director: Eli Littner, CPA, CIA, CISA
Senior Audit Manager: Autumn McKinney, CPA, CIA, CISA
Audit Manager: Carol Swe, CPA, CIA, CISA
Internal Audit Department


Providing Facts and Perspectives Countywide

RISK BASED AUDITING

Dr. Peter Hughes
Ph.D., MBA, CPA, CCEP, CITP, CIA, CFE
Director
Certified Compliance & Ethics Professional (CCEP)
Certified Information Technology Professional (CITP)
Certified Internal Auditor (CIA)
Certified Fraud Examiner (CFE)
E-mail: peter.hughes@iad.ocgov.com

Eli Littner
CPA, CIA, CFE, CFS, CISA
Deputy Director
Certified Fraud Specialist (CFS)
Certified Information Systems Auditor (CISA)

Michael J. Goodwin
CPA, CIA
Senior Audit Manager

Alan Marcum
MBA, CPA, CIA, CFE
Senior Audit Manager

Autumn McKinney
CPA, CIA, CISA, CGFM
Senior Audit Manager
Certified Government Financial Manager (CGFM)

Hall of Finance & Records
12 Civic Center Plaza, Room 232
Santa Ana, CA 92701

Phone: (714) 834-5475  Fax: (714) 834-2880

To access and view audit reports or obtain additional information about the OC Internal Audit Department, visit our website: www.ocgov.com/audit

OC Fraud Hotline (714) 834-3608
Transmittal Letter

Audit No. 2941-D  October 30, 2009

TO:  David Sundstrom, Auditor-Controller
     Carl Crown, Director, Human Resources Department
     Ronald C. Vienna, County Purchasing Agent, County Procurement Office

SUBJECT:  Monthly Performance Report of CAATS: Auditor-Controller, Human Resources, & CEO/Purchasing - Duplicate Vendor Payments and Other Periodic Routines

We have completed the October 2009 report of the results of our Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (CAAT). The final report is attached for your information. Recoveries to date from duplicate vendor payments are $833,658.

Each month I submit an Audit Status Report to the Board of Supervisors (BOS) where I detail any material and significant audit issues released in reports during the prior month and the implementation status of audit recommendations as disclosed by our Follow-Up Audits. Accordingly, the results of this audit will be included in a future status report to the BOS.

As always, the Internal Audit Department is available to partner with your staff so that they can successfully implement or mitigate difficult audit recommendations. Please feel free to call me should you wish to discuss any aspect of our audit report.

We appreciate the courtesy and cooperation extended to us by the personnel of the Auditor-Controller’s Office and the Human Resources Department. If we can be of further assistance, please contact me or Eli Littner, Deputy Director at (714) 834-5899, or Autumn McKinney, Senior Audit Manager at (714) 834-6106.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dr. Peter Hughes, CPA, Director
Internal Audit Department

Attachment
Distribution Pursuant to Audit Oversight Committee Procedure No. 1:

Members, Board of Supervisors
Members, Audit Oversight Committee
Thomas G. Mauk, County Executive Officer
Jan Grimes, Director, Auditor-Controller/Central Accounting Operations
Robert Leblow, Senior Manager, Auditor-Controller/Claims & Disbursing Section
Bill Malohn, A-C/Information Technology/CAPS G/L System Support
Shelley Carlucci, Assistant Director, Human Resources/Administration
Bob Leys, Assistant Director, Human Resources/Services and Support
Rosie Santiesteban, Admin. Manager II, Human Resources/Administration
Laurence McCabe, Admin. Manager II, Human Resources
Foreperson, Grand Jury
Darlene J. Bloom, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
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We analyzed 11,321 vendor invoices paid in September 2009 amounting to about $125 million and found 99.95% of the invoices were only paid once.

Of the $125 million vendor invoices, we identified 6 potential duplicate payments made to vendors totaling $7,771.

To date we have identified $894,041 in duplicate vendor payments, of which $833,658 has been recovered.

OBJECTIVES

Each month, the Internal Audit Department conducts a variety of performance reviews of vendor payment and payroll activity utilizing Computer-Assisted Audit Techniques (known by the acronym CAAT). Our objectives are to analyze the selected vendor payment and payroll data to identify:

1. Duplicate Payments: Duplicate payments made to vendors. This CAAT is performed monthly.
2. Employee Vendor Match: Employees that bought goods or issued contracts to themselves or a related vendor. This CAAT is performed periodically as needed.
3. OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours: County retirees working as extra help in excess of mandated hour limits of 960 or 720 hours for FY 09-10. The mandated limits are per fiscal year and this CAAT is performed on an annual basis.
4. Direct Deposits: Multiple employee paychecks directly deposited to the same bank account which could be an indicator of inappropriate payments. This CAAT is performed monthly.

BACKGROUND

CAATs are automated queries applied to large amounts of electronic data searching for specified characteristics. We use a proprietary, best practices and industry recognized software product to help us in this process.

CAATs differ from our traditional audits in that CAATs can query 100% of a data universe whereas the traditional audits typically test but a sample of transactions from the population.
Resulting exceptions or findings are forwarded to the appropriate department for validation and/or resolution. Depending on the department’s review, the exceptions may or may not be a finding.

Often there is additional data needed to validate the exception that is only known at the department level. We also partner with the departments to identify internal control enhancements with the purpose of preventing future occurrences of the type of findings identified by the CAATs.

We are keeping the details of our process and the vulnerabilities identified to a general discussion because of the risks associated with disclosing specific details of our financial and accounting processes.

**SCOPE**

This report details the CAAT work we performed in October 2009. Our analysis included a review of the following data:

1. **Duplicate Payments:** 11,321 vendor invoices totaling $125,049,865 for potential duplicate payments.

2. **Employee Vendor Match:** Employee and vendor addresses at 6/30/09 for potential conflicts of interest.

3. **OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours:** County working retiree/extra help hours worked as of 10/1/09 for individuals exceeding annual limits of 960 or 720 hours for FY 09-10.

4. **Direct Deposits:** 37,517 payroll direct deposit transactions processed for pay periods 18 (8/14/09 – 8/27/09) and 19 (8/28/09 – 9/10/09) for suspicious direct deposit activity.

**RESULTS**

For the month of October 2009, we found the following:

- **Objective #1 - Duplicate Payments:** We identified six (6) duplicate payments made to vendors totaling $7,771 or .006% of the $125 million of vendor invoices processed during September 2009.

  **Value-added Information**

  Based on the to-date recoveries of $833,658 from the duplicate vendor payment routine, these computer assisted routines have paid for themselves and are returning monies to the County that may otherwise be lost. To date, we have issued 89 monthly performance reports for the CAATs.

- **Objective #2 – Employee Vendor Match:**

  Our analysis of employee-vendor activity at 6/30/09 is in process; results will be reported at a later date.
Objective #3 – OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours:
As of 10/1/09, no working retirees have exceeded the annual limits of 960 or 720 hours for FY 09/10.

Objective #4 – Direct Deposits:
Analysis performed with no findings noted.

See the Detailed Results section for further information.
Detailed Results

1. Duplicate Payments (Objective #1)

We used a CAAT routine to identify potential duplicate payments made to vendors during September 2009.

A. Results

We identified six (6) duplicate payments totaling $7,771 or .006% of the $125 million of vendor invoices processed during September 2009. We have communicated the duplicate payments to the Auditor-Controller. The Auditor-Controller continues to investigate all duplicate payments and is pursuing collection. Currently, the Auditor-Controller has a recovery rate of about 94% on these duplicate payments that we have brought to their attention since the inception of the CAAT routines.

The table below summarizes the duplicate payment activity to date:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAAT Report</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Not Duplicates</th>
<th>Recovered</th>
<th>In Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#’s</td>
<td>$’s</td>
<td>#’s</td>
<td>$’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>$99,980</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$10,334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$33,306</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$10,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>$105,779</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$2,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>$80,162</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>$347,008</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>$33,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>$99,999</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$4,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$77,712</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$6,794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,293</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2009</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$3,991</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$3,799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2009</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$33,843</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2009</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$5,861</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2009</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2009</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2009</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>$29,660</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2009</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$29,441</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$23,033</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2009</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$7,771</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>579</td>
<td>$978,839</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$84,798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Background

This CAAT routine concentrates on a sub-set of vendor invoices paid by the County that possesses certain common attributes. The sub-set excludes one-time payments (such as election worker pay, jury duty pay, etc.) as well as recurring payments (periodic payments to the same payee for the same amount such as welfare, family support, etc.).
During the month of October 2009, 11,321 invoices for $125,049,865 were added to this data sub-set representing September 2009 transactions. Currently, the data sub-set includes 937,676 invoices totaling $9,558,381,105.

The total data file from which the sub-set is derived includes 2,810,322 records totaling $18,821,368,770. For FY 08-09, established vendor payments were about $1.1 billion.

Our prior research has indicated that the duplicate payments are typically caused by a compounded human clerical error.

2. **Employee Vendor Match (Objective #2)**
   We used a CAAT routine to identify employees that share a similar address as a vendor. This may identify employees buying goods or issuing contracts to themselves or a related vendor.

   **Status:**
   This routine is performed periodically, as needed. We are currently in the process of analyzing employee-vendor activity at June 30, 2009. Our results will be reported in future months.

3. **OC Working Retiree/Extra Help Hours (Objective #3)**
   We performed an analysis of working retiree hours to identify retirees working as extra help in excess of mandated limits.

   Our criteria are 960 hours (maximum allowed for regular retirees) or 720 hours (maximum for early retirees) during the fiscal year (FY).

   **Status:**
   The mandated limit is per fiscal year and we perform this review monthly. To date in FY 09-10, there have been approximately 97 OC working retirees; non-County working retirees are excluded from these totals (e.g. Superior Court, OCERS, LAFCO, etc.). As of October 1, 2009, no individuals have exceeded the annual limits for FY 09-10.

   For FY 09-10, OC working retiree/extra help data as of October 1, 2009 is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>No. of OC Working Retirees</th>
<th>Total FY Hours to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff-Coroner</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>8,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Agency</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1,439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Executive Office</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Counsel</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC Public Works</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>97</strong></td>
<td><strong>13,410</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Direct Deposits (Objective #4)
We used a CAAT to identify multiple employee paychecks directly deposited to the same bank account in the same pay period. We review results to determine there has been no irregular direct deposit activity. For FY 08-09, direct deposits for payroll were about $1.3 billion.

Results:
This CAAT was applied in October 2009 with no significant findings.

Attachments:
Provided to the Auditor-Controller: dated 10/13/09 – A-C/Claims & Disbursing Section.